5

10

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

///

///

2627

28

Plaintiff AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC. ("AmeriPride") and Defendant TEXAS EASTERN OVERSEAS, INC. ("TEO"), by and through their respective counsel, stipulate as follows with respect to the admissibility of public agency documents or records:

## **RECITALS**

- 1. AmeriPride and TEO each have designated certain public agency documents and records as evidence to be introduced at trial;
- AmeriPride and TEO agree that the trial will proceed more efficiently by eliminating the need at trial to individually authenticate each public agency document and record on a party's exhibit list;
- 3. To facilitate a more efficient trial, AmeriPride and TEO have agreed to stipulate to the foundation of the documents and records on each party's respective exhibit list that purport to have been created by the following public agencies:
  - a. California Department of Health Services ("DHS");
  - b. California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC");
  - c. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley
     Region ("RWQCB");
  - d. Sacramento County Environmental Management Department ("SCEMD"); and,
  - e. Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District ("SCSD").

## **STIPULATION**

Based on the foregoing, AmeriPride and TEO, through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

 All documents and records on each party's respective exhibit list that purport to have been created by DHS, DTSC, RWQCB, SCEMD, or SCSD are subject to this Stipulation;

1

- 2. Each of the public agency documents or records subject to this Stipulation will not be objected to on the basis of lack of foundation because each document or record satisfies the requirements of authenticity under Federal Rule of Evidence 901;
- 3. Each of the public agency documents or records subject to this Stipulation will not be objected to on the basis of hearsay of the document itself under Federal Rule of Evidence 801;
- 4. Neither TEO nor AmeriPride will object to the introduction or use during trial by either party of any of the documents or records subject to this Stipulation on the basis of lack of foundation, improper authentication, or hearsay of the document itself;
- 5. AmeriPride and TEO agree that the documents or records subject to this Stipulation qualify as records of a regularly conducted activity subject to the exception to the rule against hearsay in Federal Rule of Evidence 803(6);
- 6. AmeriPride and TEO expressly reserve their rights to object to the documents or records subject to this Stipulation on any other grounds, including hearsay statements within the document itself; and,
- 7. This Stipulation does not apply to any objections to foundation and/or authenticity, with respect to any handwriting on the exhibits covered by this Stipulation.

Date: October 14, 2016 BASSI, EDLIN, HUIE & BLUM LLP

By: \_\_/s/ Fred M. Blum FRED M. BLUM ERIN K. POPPLER Attorneys for Defendant TEXAS EASTERN OVERSEAS, INC.

Date: October 14, 2016 HUNSUCKER GOODSTEIN PC

By: /s/ Brian L. Zagon
PHILIP C. HUNSUCKER
BRIAN L. ZAGON
MARC A. SHAPP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC.

## Case 2:00-cv-00113-MCE-DB Document 1107 Filed 10/19/16 Page 4 of 4

	II .	
1 2	Date: October 14, 2016	PERKINS MANN & EVERETT, APC
3		
4		By: /s/ Lee N. Smith LEE N. SMITH
5		Attorneys for Plaintiffs AMERIPRIDE SERVICES INC.
6		
7		ORDER
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	ORDER . /
9		
10	Date:/0 · _/9 · _/6	
11		- HATTA
12		HON. MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13		UNITĘD STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25 25		
26		
27		
28		